Polymer Bulletin

© by Springer-Verlag 1980

Gradient Dependence of Viscosity of Cellulose Tricarbanilate in Tetrahydrofuran

I. Kössler, J. Daňhelka and M. Netopilík

Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 162 06 Prague 6, Czechoslovakia

Summary

The dependence of viscosity of cellulose tricarbanilate in tetrahydrofuran on the velocity gradient $D < 2500 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for molecular weights up to 1.2×10^6 was determined, and an empirical equation for the determination of the limiting viscosity number for zero velocity gradient was derived. Errors involved in the viscosity determination from a single concentration using an approximate formula were also ascertained.

Introduction

For a basic investigation of the fractionation of cellulose by its transformation into cellulose tricarbanilate followed by the determination of GPC curve and of viscosities of all GPC fractions (KÖSSLER et al. 1980), an expression had to be found for the calculation of molecular weights from viscometric measurements at a higher velocity gradient.

Experimental

All experiments were carried out with cellulose tricarbanilate (CTC) Rauma RR (sulphite celluloses from conifer wood containing 99% of α -cellulose). Seven fractions of M_v = 7.3x10³ to 1.2x10⁶ obtained by elution fractionation on a column with temperature gradient from an acetone solution were used (cf. DANHELKA et al. 1976). The viscosities were measured with viscometers of the Ubbelohde type with five measuring cells (SCHURZ, IMMERGUT 1952) in a modification with U-shaped capillaries; the heights of the centres of measuring cell over the outlet of the capillary were h = 40, 74, 108, 142 and 175 mm. The average velocity gradients for tetrahydrofuran (THF), D = 580, 1068, 1562, 2046 and 2524 s⁻¹, were determined from the dimensions of the viscometer and flow times t, using the formula $D = 4V/\pi r^3 t$, where V is the volume of the measuring flask and r is the radius of the capillary.

Results

Using the flow times t of CTC solutions in THF at a concentration 1.89×10^{-3} g/ml and the flow times of THF at 25°C, $\eta_{\rm SP}/c$ were determined and the respective gradients D were calculated for all the five measuring cells of the viscometer. Simultaneous extrapolation of $\eta_{\rm SP}/c$ both to zero concentration c=0 and zero gradient D=0 or zero shear S=0 (i.e. h=0) was used according to KRATOCHVIL, MUNK 1960; SCHURZ 1963 (Fig.1). The small range of the velocity gradient for CTC solutions in THF (D = 236 to 2561 s⁻¹) allowed a linear scale to be used for $\eta_{\rm SP}/c$, instead of the more usual logarithmic scale.



Fig.1 Extrapolation of η_{sp}/c to c=0 and D=0 **O** η_{sp}/c for c + 10⁻⁶D, $\Delta \eta_{sp}/c$ for c + 1.44x10⁻⁵h; **m** extrapolated values, concentration in g/ml: c₁ = 0.473x10⁻³, c₂ = 0.945x10⁻³, c₃ = 1.42x10⁻³, c₄ = 1.89x10⁻³, heights of measuring cells in mm: h₁ = 40, h₂ = 74, h₃ = 108, h₄ = 142, h₅ = 175.

560

In the extrapolation to S=O (i.e. h=O), $\eta_{\rm sp}/c$ was plotted against (c + K₁h) by employing a procedure used in the construction of the Zimm diagram. In the other procedure used for extrapolation, viz., to D=O, the points $\eta_{\rm sp}/c$ were plotted against (c + K₂D); K₁, K₂ are constants, K₂ being selected so as to make the scales on the x-acis coincide in both extrapolations, i.e. so that it would hold for the gradient of THF that K₂D = K₁h. Curves were drawn through values obtained for the same concentrations, and points of the chosen D (including the value extrapolated for D=O) were determined on these curves. The further procedure was similar to that employed in the first extrapolation.

TABLE 1

The dependence of constants B and ${\rm K}_{\rm D}$ on molecular weight ${\rm M}_{\rm w}$

M _w x10 ⁻⁵	[η] ₀ (ml/g)	Bx10 ⁻⁵ (s)	к _D		
3.3	233	4.0	0.26		
4.6	207	4.2	0.44		
6.1	384	4.4	0.47		
9.1	541	4.9	0.36		
9.5	560	5.5	0.34		

TABLE 2

The dependence of the Huggins constant K_D for gradients D of the fraction with $[\eta]_{O} = 560 \text{ ml/g}$

D (s ⁻¹)	к _D		
0	0.34		
580	0.32		
1068	0.36		
1562	0.35		

It is reported in the literature that the dependence of the limiting viscosity number $[\eta]_D$ on the velocity gradient D is an S-shaped curve (KINSINGER 1971). For a sufficiently narrow range of D, (D = 100 to 1000), the curve may be replaced with a straight

line satisfying the expression $[\gamma]_{D} = [\gamma]_{O} (1 - BD)$, and a linear extrapolation to D=0 may be made (WIND, HERMANS 1951; IMMERGUT et al. 1953; CLAESSON, LOHMANDER 1961, and others). In this way the "limiting viscosity number $[\eta]$ "for "zero gradient" may be determined. The value of $[\eta]_0$ thus obtained is somewhat higher than that which would be obtained by the extrapolation of values for D approaching zero. For purposes indicated in the introduction, we started from the finding that in the range D = 100 to 400 s⁻¹ no dependence of $\left[\eta\right]_{O}$ on the gradient could be observed up to the molecular weight $3x10^6$, or no such dependence could be determined from the scattered experimental data (DAÑHELKA et al. 1976). For this reason the mean value from the range D=O to D=250 $\rm s^{-1}$ was chosen for the molecular weight determination using the Kuhn-Mark relation $[\eta]_0$ = K.M^a, or in other words, it was assumed that $[\eta]_0 = [\eta]_{250}$ (Fig.1). The values used in the molecular weight calculations were K = 5.3×10^{-3} and a = 0.84 (DANHELKA et al. 1976).

The dependence of $[\eta]_{\rm sp}/c$ both on the concentration c and on the velocity gradient D may in the first approximation be described by the equation

$$(\gamma_{\rm sp}/c)_{\rm D} = [\gamma]_{\rm O} [1-B.(D-250)] + [\gamma]_{\rm D}^2.K_{\rm D}.c$$
 (1)

where B, $K^{}_{\rm D}$ are constants (K^{}_{\rm D} is the Huggins constant for the gradient D).

In the range of molecular weights used (cf.Table 1), B depends on molecular weight. In order to express this dependence on $[\eta]_0$, an approximate extrapolation to $[\eta]_{250} = 0$ (Fig.2a) was made, and it was found that experimental points are satisfied by the empirical equation

$$\log(B - 3.6 \times 10^{-5}) = 1.9 \log [\eta]_0 - 9.966$$
 (2)

(Fig.2b), i.e.

$$B = 3.6 \times 10^{-5} + 1.08 \times 10^{-10} [\eta]_{0}^{1.9}$$
(3)

The difference between the measured $[\gamma]_D$ and $[\gamma]_0$ corrected for the velocity gradient at D = 2500 s⁻¹ and M = 1.2x10⁶ was 17%. The arithmetic mean of the Huggins constant K_D = 0.38 (Table 1) is in agreement with the arithmetic means measured earlier, K = 0.36 (DAŇHELKA et al. 1976) and K = 0.43 (KÖSSLER et al. 1980).



Fig.2 The dependence of constant B of Eq.(1) on the limiting viscosity number $[\eta]_{0}$

Procedure employed in the determination of $\overline{M}_{\rm ur}$

(1) Using the known geometric dimensions of the viscometer (r - radius of the capillary, V - volume of the measuring cell, h - distance of the cell centre from the orifice of the capillary) and the flow time of THF t_o, D = $4V/\pi r^3 t_o$ for THF is determined. (2) The flow times of CTC solutions in THF at

various concentrations are used to determine $[\eta]_{\rm D}$.

 $[\eta]_{D}$ may be determined from a single measurement using an approximate formula $\eta_{sp} - \ln \eta_{rel} = c^2 [\eta] \beta/2$ (SOLOMON, CIUTA 1968). The magnitude of the error depends on molecular weight, as shown by Table 3.

TABLE	3
-------	---

Error in the determination of the limiting viscosity number $[\eta]_{D}$ from a single measurement

$[\gamma]_{\rm D}$ extrapolated:	860	825	487	431	363	354
$[\eta]_{\mathrm{D}}$ according to formula:	924	861	505	441	372	357
deviation in %:	7.4	4.4	3.7	2.3	2.5	0.8

(3) From Eq.(3), the first approximation to the parameter B is determined by using the measured $[\eta]_{D}$ instead of $[\eta]_{0}$. The error for D = 2500 and M = = 1.2x10⁶ for M was < 2%. (4) $[\eta]_{0}$ is calculated from the equation

$$[\eta]_{0} = [\eta]_{D} / [1-B(D-250)]$$

using the calculated B and the velocity gradient D for THF.

(5) If necessary, the corrected B can be calculated from Eq.(3) and according to point 4 the corrected $[\eta]_{M_V^O}$ can be determined. (6) M_V^O is determined from

$$\bar{M}_{v} \approx 512. [\gamma]_{o}^{1.19}$$

References

CLAESSON, S., and LOHMANDER, U.: Makromol.Chem. 44-46, 461 (1961) DANHELKA, J., KÖSSLER, I., and BOHAČKOVA, V.: J.Polym.Sci.,Polym.Chem.Ed. <u>14</u>, 287 (1976) IMMERGUT, E.H., SCHURZ, J., and MARK, H.: Monatsh. 84, 221 (1953) KINSINGER, J.B.: in Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology, Vol.14, New York, Interscience Publishers 1971 KRATOCHVIL, P., and MUNK, P.: Collect.Czech.Chem. Commun. 25, 1237 (1960) KÖSSLER, I., DANHELKA, J., and NETOPILIK, M.: to be published van OENE, H., CRAGG, L.H.: J.Polym.Sci. 57, 209 (1962). SCHURZ, J., and IMMERGUT, E.H.: J.Polym.Sci. 9, 279 (1952)SCHURZ, J.: Rheologica Acta 3, No.1, 43 (1963) SOLOMON, O.F., and CIUTA, I.Z.: Bull.Inst.Plit.Gh.Gh. Dej.Buc. <u>30</u>, 3 (1968) de WIND, G., and HERMANS, J.J.: Rec.trav.chim. <u>70</u>, 521 (1951)

Received November 7/ Accepted November 14, 1980

Responsible for the text: The Editors (see inside title page of this issue). For advertisements: L. Siegel, Kurfürstendamm 237, D-1000 Berlin 15, Tel. (030) 882 1031, Telex 01-85411, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Printed in Germany by Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach/Bergstraße © by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1980

Diese Ausgabe enthält eine eingeheftete Beilage vom Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York